

---

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2016**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD OF \_\_\_\_\_ TO \_\_\_\_\_.**

**Commission File Number: 001-33905**

**UR-ENERGY INC.**

**(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

**Canada**  
State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization

**Not Applicable**  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**10758 West Centennial Road, Suite 200**  
**Littleton, Colorado 80127**  
(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **720-981-4588**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or smaller reporting company:

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

As of July 28, 2016, there were 143,605,552 shares of the registrant's no par value Common Shares ("Common Shares"), the registrant's only outstanding class of voting securities, outstanding.

---

---

---

**UR-ENERGY INC.**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>	
<u>PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>		
<a href="#">Item 1.</a>	<a href="#">Financial Statements</a>	3
<a href="#">Item 2.</a>	<a href="#">Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</a>	20
<a href="#">Item 3.</a>	<a href="#">Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</a>	36
<a href="#">Item 4.</a>	<a href="#">Controls and Procedures</a>	37
<u>PART II – OTHER INFORMATION</u>		
<a href="#">Item 1.</a>	<a href="#">Legal Proceedings</a>	37
<a href="#">Item 1A.</a>	<a href="#">Risk Factors</a>	37
<a href="#">Item 2.</a>	<a href="#">Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</a>	37
<a href="#">Item 3.</a>	<a href="#">Defaults Upon Senior Securities</a>	37
<a href="#">Item 4.</a>	<a href="#">Mine Safety Disclosures</a>	37
<a href="#">Item 5.</a>	<a href="#">Other Information</a>	38
<a href="#">Item 6.</a>	<a href="#">Exhibits</a>	39
<u>SIGNATURES</u>		

*When we use the terms “Ur-Energy,” “we,” “us,” or “our,” or the “Company” we are referring to Ur-Energy Inc. and its subsidiaries, unless the context otherwise requires. Throughout this document we make statements that are classified as “forward-looking.” Please refer to the “Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements” section of this document for an explanation of these types of assertions.*

***Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information***

This report on Form 10-Q contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of applicable United States and Canadian securities laws, and these forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as "expect," "anticipate," "estimate," "believe," "may," "potential," "intends," "plans" and other similar expressions or statements that an action, event or result "may," "could" or "should" be taken, occur or be achieved, or the negative thereof or other similar statements. These statements are only predictions and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements, or industry results, to be materially different from any future results, performance, or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. Such statements include, but are not limited to: (i) the ability to maintain steady state operations at Lost Creek; (ii) the technical and economic viability of Lost Creek; (iii) the timing and outcome of permitting and regulatory approvals of the amendment for recovery from LC East and the KM horizon; (iv) the outcome and impact of ongoing regulatory rulemaking and other changes in regulation and/or legislation; (v) the ability to complete additional favorable uranium sales agreements including spot sales if production is available and the market warrants; (vi) the production rates and life of the Lost Creek Project and subsequent production from adjoining properties, including LC East; (vii) the potential of our other exploration and development projects, including Shirley Basin, as well as the technical and economic viability of Shirley Basin; (viii) the timing and outcome of applications for regulatory approval to build and operate an ISR mine at Shirley Basin; (ix) the outcome of our forecasts and production projections; and (x) the continuing and long-term effects on the uranium market of events in Japan in 2011 including supply and demand projections. These other factors include, among others, the following: future estimates for production, capital expenditures, operating costs, mineral resources, recovery rates, grades and market prices; business strategies and measures to implement such strategies; competitive strengths; estimates of goals for expansion and growth of the business and operations; plans and references to our future successes; our history of operating losses and uncertainty of future profitability; status as an exploration stage company; our lack of mineral reserves; risks associated with obtaining permits and other authorizations in the United States; risks associated with current variable economic conditions; our ability to service our debt and maintain compliance with all restrictive covenants related to the debt facilities and security documents; the possible impact of future financings; the hazards associated with mining production; compliance with environmental laws and regulations; uncertainty regarding the pricing and collection of accounts; the possibility for adverse results in potential litigation; uncertainties associated with changes in government policy and regulation; uncertainties associated with a Canada Revenue Agency or U.S. Internal Revenue Service audit of any of our cross border transactions; adverse changes in general business conditions in any of the countries in which we do business; changes in size and structure; effectiveness of management and our strategic relationships; ability to attract and retain key personnel; uncertainties regarding the need for additional capital; uncertainty regarding the fluctuations of quarterly results; foreign currency exchange risks; ability to enforce civil liabilities under U.S. securities laws outside the United States; ability to maintain our listing on the NYSE MKT LLC (“NYSE MKT”) and Toronto Stock Exchange (“TSX”); risks associated with the expected classification as a "passive foreign investment company" under the applicable provisions of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended; risks associated with our investments and other risks and uncertainties described under the heading “Risk Factors” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated February 26, 2016.

***Cautionary Note to U.S. Investors Concerning Disclosure of Mineral Resources***

Unless otherwise indicated, all resource estimates included in this Form 10-Q have been prepared in accordance with Canadian National Instrument 43-101 *Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects* (“NI 43-101”) and the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum Definition Standards for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves (“CIM Definition Standards”). NI 43-101 is a rule developed by the Canadian Securities Administrators which establishes standards for all public disclosure an issuer makes of scientific and technical information concerning mineral projects. NI 43-101 permits the disclosure of an historical estimate made prior to the adoption of NI 43-101 that does not comply with NI 43-101 to be disclosed using the historical terminology if the disclosure: (a) identifies the source and date of the historical estimate; (b) comments on the relevance and reliability of the historical estimate; (c) to the extent known, provides the key assumptions, parameters and methods used to prepare the historical estimate; (d) states whether the historical estimate uses categories other than those prescribed by NI 43-101; and (e) includes any more recent estimates or data available.

Canadian standards, including NI 43-101, differ significantly from the requirements of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”), and resource information contained in this Form 10-K may not be comparable to similar information disclosed by U.S. companies. In particular, the term “resource” does not equate to the term “reserves.” Under SEC Industry Guide 7, mineralization may not be classified as a “reserve” unless the determination has been made that the mineralization could be economically and legally produced or extracted at the time the reserve determination is made. SEC Industry Guide 7 does not define and the SEC’s disclosure standards normally do not permit the inclusion of information concerning “measured mineral resources,” “indicated mineral resources” or “inferred mineral resources” or other descriptions of the amount of mineralization in mineral deposits that do not constitute “reserves” by U.S. standards in documents filed with the SEC. U.S. investors should also understand that “inferred mineral resources” have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence and great uncertainty as to their economic and legal feasibility. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of an “inferred mineral resource” will ever be upgraded to a higher category. Under Canadian rules, estimated “inferred mineral resources” may not form the basis of feasibility or pre-feasibility studies except in rare cases. Investors are cautioned not to assume that all or any part of an “inferred mineral resource” exists or is economically or legally mineable. Disclosure of “contained ounces” in a resource is permitted disclosure under Canadian regulations; however, the SEC normally only permits issuers to report mineralization that does not constitute “reserves” by SEC standards as in-place tonnage and grade without reference to unit measures. Accordingly, information concerning mineral deposits set forth herein may not be comparable to information made public by companies that report in accordance with United States standards.

**NI 43-101 Review of Technical Information:** James A. Bonner, Ur-Energy Vice President Geology, P.Geol. and SME Registered Member, and Qualified Person as defined by National Instrument 43-101, reviewed and approved the technical information contained in this Form 10-Q.

**PART I****Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Ur-Energy Inc.  
Unaudited Interim Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars)

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
<b>Assets</b>		
<b>Current assets</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents (note 3)	2,475	1,443
Accounts receivable (note 4)	2,531	9
Inventory (note 5)	4,890	3,345
Prepaid expenses	904	916
	<u>10,800</u>	<u>5,713</u>
<b>Restricted cash (note 6)</b>	7,557	7,557
<b>Mineral properties (note 7)</b>	49,230	50,610
<b>Capital assets (note 8)</b>	29,864	30,788
<b>Equity investment (note 9)</b>	900	1,089
	<u>98,351</u>	<u>95,757</u>
<b>Liabilities and shareholders' equity</b>		
<b>Current liabilities</b>		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities (note 10)	4,517	4,567
Current portion of notes payable (note 11)	6,514	8,527
Accrued federal income tax	-	43
Deferred revenue (note 12)	5,085	-
Environmental remediation accrual	86	86
	<u>16,202</u>	<u>13,223</u>
<b>Notes payable (note 11)</b>	21,719	23,937
<b>Asset retirement obligations (note 13)</b>	26,476	26,061
<b>Other liabilities - warrants</b>	5	35
	<u>64,402</u>	<u>63,256</u>
<b>Shareholders' equity (note 14)</b>		
<b>Share Capital</b>		
Class A preferred shares, without par value, unlimited shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding	-	-
Common shares, without par value, unlimited shares authorized; shares issued and outstanding: 143,605,552 at June 30, 2016 and 130,188,775 at December 31, 2015	174,897	168,911
Warrants	4,109	4,175
Contributed surplus	14,828	14,632
Accumulated other comprehensive income	3,606	3,357
Deficit	(163,491)	(158,574)
	<u>33,949</u>	<u>32,501</u>
	<u>98,351</u>	<u>95,757</u>

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these interim consolidated financial statements.*

Approved by the Board of Directors

/s/ Jeffrey T. Klenda, Chairman of the Board

/s/ Thomas Parker, Director

**Ur-Energy Inc.**  
**Unaudited Interim Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss**

(expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars except for share data)

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
<b>Sales</b> (note 15)	6,747	18,213	9,461	25,600
<b>Cost of sales</b>	<u>(5,094)</u>	<u>(13,791)</u>	<u>(6,949)</u>	<u>(19,181)</u>
<b>Gross profit</b>	1,653	4,422	2,512	6,419
<b>Operating Expenses</b>				
Exploration and evaluation	(687)	(550)	(1,542)	(1,235)
Development	(727)	(557)	(1,276)	(1,586)
General and administrative	(1,459)	(1,743)	(2,824)	(3,260)
Accretion of asset retirement obligations (note 13)	(132)	(128)	(265)	(254)
Write-off of mineral properties (note 7)	<u>(62)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(62)</u>	<u>-</u>
<b>Loss from operations</b>	(1,414)	1,444	(3,457)	84
Interest expense (net)	(515)	(658)	(1,069)	(1,346)
Warrant mark to market adjustment	-	248	31	171
Loss on equity investment (note 9)	(2)	(5)	(2)	(5)
Write-off of equity investments (note 9)	-	-	(189)	-
Foreign exchange loss	(1)	(4)	(273)	(3)
Other income	4	-	42	-
<b>Net loss for the period</b>	<u>(1,928)</u>	<u>1,025</u>	<u>(4,917)</u>	<u>(1,099)</u>
<b>Loss per common share</b>				
Basic and diluted	<u>(0.01)</u>	<u>0.01</u>	<u>(0.04)</u>	<u>(0.01)</u>
<b>Weighted average number of common shares outstanding</b>				
Basic and diluted	<u>143,471,310</u>	<u>130,135,611</u>	<u>139,971,865</u>	<u>129,923,742</u>
<b>COMPREHENSIVE LOSS</b>				
Net loss for the period	(1,928)	1,025	(4,917)	(1,099)
Other Comprehensive loss, net of tax				
Translation adjustment on foreign operations	<u>(3)</u>	<u>(8)</u>	<u>249</u>	<u>18</u>
<b>Comprehensive loss for the period</b>	<u>(1,931)</u>	<u>1,017</u>	<u>(4,668)</u>	<u>(1,081)</u>

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these interim consolidated financial statements.*

**Ur-Energy Inc.**  
**Unaudited Interim Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity**

(expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars except for share data)

	<u>Capital Stock</u>		Warrants	Contributed Surplus	Accumulated Other Comprehensive		Shareholders' Equity
	Shares	Amount			Income	Deficit	
	#	\$			\$	\$	
<b>Balance, December 31, 2015</b>	130,188,775	168,911	4,175	14,632	3,357	(158,574)	32,501
Exercise of stock options	16,620	13	-	(4)	-	-	9
Common shares issued for cash, net of \$852 of issue costs	13,085,979	5,716	-	-	-	-	5,716
Redemption of vested RSUs	314,178	257	-	(306)	-	-	(49)
Expiry of warrants	-	-	(66)	66	-	-	-
Non-cash stock compensation	-	-	-	440	-	-	440
Net loss and comprehensive income	-	-	-	-	249	(4,917)	(4,668)
<b>Balance, June 30, 2016</b>	<u>143,605,552</u>	<u>174,897</u>	<u>4,109</u>	<u>14,828</u>	<u>3,606</u>	<u>(163,491)</u>	<u>33,949</u>

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these interim consolidated financial statements.*

**Ur-Energy Inc.**

**Unaudited Interim Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow**

(expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars)

	<b>Six months ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2016</b>	<b>2015</b>
<b>Cash provided by (used in)</b>		
<b>Operating activities</b>		
Net loss for the period	(4,917)	(1,099)
Items not affecting cash:		
Stock based expense	440	457
Depreciation and amortization	2,556	3,735
Accretion of asset retirement obligations	265	254
Amortization of deferred loan costs	75	101
Write off of investments	189	-
Write-off of mineral properties	62	-
Warrants mark to market gain (loss)	(31)	(171)
Gain on disposition of assets	(42)	-
Other loss	2	5
RSUs redeemed to pay withholding	(9)	(143)
Proceeds from assignment of sales contract	5,085	-
Change in non-cash working capital items:		
Accounts receivable	(2,523)	15
Inventory	(1,545)	1,283
Prepaid expenses	(344)	(237)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	117	(171)
Accrued income taxes	30	-
	<u>(590)</u>	<u>4,029</u>
<b>Investing activities</b>		
Funding of equity investment	(2)	-
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	91	-
Purchase of capital assets	(183)	(43)
	<u>(94)</u>	<u>(43)</u>
<b>Financing activities</b>		
Issuance of common shares and warrants for cash	6,568	-
Share issue costs	(767)	-
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	9	408
Repayment of debt	(4,308)	(3,658)
	<u>1,502</u>	<u>(3,250)</u>
<b>Effects of foreign exchange rate changes on cash</b>		
	<u>214</u>	<u>11</u>
<b>Net change in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>1,032</b>	<b>747</b>
<b>Beginning cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>1,443</b>	<b>3,104</b>
<b>Ending cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>2,475</b>	<b>3,851</b>

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these interim consolidated financial statements.*



(expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars unless otherwise indicated)

## 1. Nature of Operations

Ur-Energy Inc. (the “Company”) was incorporated on March 22, 2004 under the laws of the Province of Ontario. The Company was continued under the Canada Business Corporations Act on August 8, 2006. The Company is an exploration stage mining company, as defined by United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) Industry Guide 7, headquartered in Littleton, Colorado. The Company is engaged in uranium mining and recovery operations, with activities including the acquisition, exploration, development and production of uranium mineral resources located primarily in Wyoming. As of August 2013, the Company commenced uranium production at its Lost Creek Project in Wyoming.

Due to the nature of the uranium mining methods used by the Company on the Lost Creek Property, and the definition of “mineral reserves” under National Instrument 43-101 (“NI 43-101”), which uses the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (“CIM”) Definition Standards, the Company has not determined whether the properties contain mineral reserves. However, the Company’s “*Amended Preliminary Economic Assessment of the Lost Creek Property, Sweetwater County, Wyoming*,” February 8, 2016 (“Lost Creek PEA”) outlines the potential viability of the Lost Creek Property. The recoverability of amounts recorded for mineral properties is dependent upon the discovery of economic resources, the ability of the Company to obtain the necessary financing to develop the properties and upon attaining future profitable production from the properties or sufficient proceeds from disposition of the properties.

## 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

### Basis of presentation

These unaudited interim consolidated financial statements do not conform in all respects to the requirements of generally accepted accounting principles for annual financial statements. The unaudited interim financial statements reflect all normal adjustments which in the opinion of management are necessary for a fair statement of the results for the periods presented. These unaudited interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited annual consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2015. The year-end balance sheet data was derived from the audited financial statements and certain information and footnote disclosures required by United States generally accepted accounting principles (US GAAP) have been condensed or omitted.

### New accounting pronouncements

In April 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2015-03, *Interest - Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs*. The update requires debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of the related debt liability instead of being presented as an asset. Debt disclosures will include the face amount of the debt liability and the effective interest rate. The update requires retrospective application and represents a change in accounting principle. The update is effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted for financial statements that have not been previously issued. We elected early adoption of this standard effective the second quarter of 2015.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, “*Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)*.” The amendments in ASU 2014-09 affect any entity that either enters into contracts with customers to transfer goods or services or enters into contracts for the transfer of nonfinancial assets unless those contracts are within the scope of other standards (e.g., insurance contracts or lease contracts). This ASU will supersede the revenue recognition requirements in Topic 605, Revenue Recognition, and most industry-specific guidance, and creates a Topic 606

(expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars unless otherwise indicated)

Revenue from Contracts with Customers. The core principle of the guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of the promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The amendments are effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017. Early application is not permitted. We are assessing the impact this pronouncement may have on our financial reporting.

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-1, *Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities (Topic 825)*. The amendments in this Update supersede the guidance to classify equity securities with readily determinable fair values into different categories (that is, trading or available-for-sale) and require equity securities (including other ownership interests, such as partnerships, unincorporated joint ventures, and limited liability companies) to be measured at fair value with changes in the fair value recognized through net income. The amendments allow equity investments that do not have readily determinable fair values to be remeasured at fair value either upon the occurrence of an observable price change or upon identification of an impairment. The amendments also require enhanced disclosures about those investments. The amendments improve financial reporting by providing relevant information about an entity's equity investments and reducing the number of items that are recognized in other comprehensive income. This guidance is effective for annual reporting beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within the year of adoption, and calls for prospective application, with early application permitted. Accordingly, the standard is effective for us beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2019. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, *Leases (Topic 842)*, which requires lessees to recognize all leases, including operating leases, unless the lease is a short-term lease. ASU 2016-02 also requires additional disclosures regarding leasing arrangements. ASU 2016-02 is effective for interim periods and fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and early application is permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact that this standard update will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-09, *Compensation-Stock Compensation- Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting (Topic 718)*, which involves several aspects of the accounting for share-based payment transactions, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities, and classification on the statement of cash flows. Under the new standard, income tax benefits and deficiencies are to be recognized as income tax expense or benefit in the income statement and the tax effects of exercised or vested awards should be treated as discrete items in the reporting period in which they occur. An entity should also recognize excess tax benefits regardless of whether the benefit reduces taxes payable in the current period. Excess tax benefits should be classified along with other income tax cash flows as an operating activity. In regards to forfeitures, the entity may make an entity-wide accounting policy election to either estimate the number of awards that are expected to vest or account for forfeitures when they occur. This ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016 including interim periods within that reporting period, however early adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the guidance to determine the Company's adoption method and the effect it will have on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

(expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars unless otherwise indicated)

**3. Cash and Cash Equivalents**

The Company's cash and cash equivalents consist of the following:

	<b>As at</b>	
	<b>June 30, 2016</b>	<b>December 31, 2015</b>
	\$	\$
Cash on deposit at banks	2,243	1,202
Money market funds	232	241
	<u>2,475</u>	<u>1,443</u>

**4. Accounts Receivable**

The Company's accounts receivable consist of the following:

	<b>As at</b>	
	<b>June 30, 2016</b>	<b>December 31, 2015</b>
	\$	\$
Trade accounts receivable		
Company A	2,518	-
Other Companies	6	-
Total trade receivables	2,524	-
Other receivables	7	9
Total accounts receivable	<u>2,531</u>	<u>9</u>

The names of the individual companies have not been disclosed for reasons of confidentiality.

**5. Inventory**

The Company's inventory consists of the following:

	<b>As at</b>	
	<b>June 30, 2016</b>	<b>December 31, 2015</b>
	\$	\$
In-process inventory	929	994
Plant inventory	115	742
Conversion facility inventory	3,846	1,609
	<u>4,890</u>	<u>3,345</u>

As of June 30, 2016, there was no inventory on hand with costs in excess of net realizable value.

(expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars unless otherwise indicated)

**6. Restricted Cash**

The Company's restricted cash consists of the following:

	As at	
	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
	\$	\$
Money market account	7,457	7,457
Certificates of deposit	100	100
	7,557	7,557

The bonding requirements for reclamation obligations on various properties have been agreed to by the Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality ("WDEQ"), the Bureau of Land Management ("BLM") and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission ("NRC") as applicable. The restricted money market accounts are pledged as collateral against performance surety bonds which are used to secure the potential costs of reclamation related to those properties. Surety bonds providing \$27.4 million of coverage towards specific reclamation obligations are collateralized by \$7.5 million of the restricted cash at June 30, 2016.

**7. Mineral Properties**

The Company's mineral properties consist of the following:

	Lost Creek Property	Pathfinder Mines	Other US Properties	Total
	\$	\$	\$	\$
<b>Balance, December 31, 2015</b>	16,662	20,738	13,210	50,610
Change in estimated reclamation costs (Note 13)	338	(188)	-	150
Property write-offs	-	-	(62)	(62)
Amortization	(1,468)	-	-	(1,468)
<b>Balance, June 30, 2016</b>	15,532	20,550	13,148	49,230

*Lost Creek Property*

The Company acquired certain Wyoming properties in 2005 when Ur-Energy USA Inc. purchased 100% of NFU Wyoming, LLC. Assets acquired in this transaction include the Lost Creek Project, other Wyoming properties and development databases. NFU Wyoming, LLC was acquired for aggregate consideration of \$20 million plus interest. Since 2005, the Company has increased its holdings adjacent to the initial Lost Creek acquisition through staking additional claims and additional property purchases and leases.

(expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars unless otherwise indicated)

There is a royalty on each of the State of Wyoming sections under lease at the Lost Creek, LC West and EN Projects, as required by law. Other royalties exist on certain mining claims at the LC South, LC East and EN Projects. Currently, there are no royalties on the mining claims in the Lost Creek, LC North or LC West Projects.

*Pathfinder Mines*

The Company acquired additional Wyoming properties when Ur-Energy USA Inc. closed a Share Purchase Agreement (“SPA”) with an AREVA Mining affiliate in December 2013. Under the terms of the SPA, the Company purchased Pathfinder Mines Corporation (“Pathfinder”) to acquire additional mineral properties. Assets acquired in this transaction include the Shirley Basin mine, portions of the Lucky Mc mine, machinery and equipment, vehicles, office equipment and development databases. Pathfinder was acquired for aggregate consideration of \$6.7 million, a 5% production royalty under certain circumstances and the assumption of \$5.7 million in estimated asset reclamation obligations. At June 30, 2016, the royalty lapsed and has been terminated.

*Other U.S. properties*

In June 2016, the Company decided to abandon their claims in the Hauber project and wrote off \$62 thousand being the carrying value of the investment in that project.

**8. Capital Assets**

The Company’s capital assets consist of the following:

	As of June 30, 2016			As of December 31, 2015		
	Cost	Accumulated Depreciation	Net Book Value	Cost	Accumulated Depreciation	Net Book Value
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Rolling stock	3,308	2,866	442	3,819	3,179	640
Enclosures	32,991	4,404	28,587	32,987	3,578	29,409
Machinery and equipment	1,194	548	646	1,031	507	524
Furniture, fixtures and leasehold improvements	119	95	24	119	92	27
Information technology	1,152	987	165	1,111	923	188
	<u>38,764</u>	<u>8,900</u>	<u>29,864</u>	<u>39,067</u>	<u>8,279</u>	<u>30,788</u>

**9. Equity Investment**

Following its earn-in to the Bootheel Project in 2009, Jet Metals Corp was required to fund 75% of the project’s expenditures and the Company the remaining 25%. The project has been accounted for using the equity accounting method with the Company’s pro rata share of the project’s loss included in the Statement of Operations since the date of earn-in and the Company’s net investment reflected on the Balance Sheet. Under the terms of the operating agreement, the Company elected not to participate financially for the year ended March 31, 2012 which reduced the

(expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars unless otherwise indicated)

Company's ownership percentage to approximately 19%. The equity accounting method has been continued because the Company has an equal number of members on the management committee as the other member and can directly influence the budget, expenditures and operations of the project.

In March 2016, the Company performed an impairment analysis based on the mineralization at the Bootheel property and the current spot price. It determined that an impairment reflecting the then current spot price was warranted which is reflected as a \$189 thousand decrease in the investment.

#### 10. Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities consist of the following:

	As at	
	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
	\$	\$
Accounts payable	1,096	1,402
Severance and ad valorem tax payable	1,785	1,992
Payroll and other taxes	1,636	1,173
	<u>4,517</u>	<u>4,567</u>

#### 11. Notes Payable

On October 15, 2013, the Sweetwater County Commissioners approved the issuance of a \$34.0 million Sweetwater County, State of Wyoming, Taxable Industrial Development Revenue Bond (Lost Creek Project), Series 2013 (the "Sweetwater IDR Bond") to the State of Wyoming, acting by and through the Wyoming State Treasurer, as purchaser. On October 23, 2013, the Sweetwater IDR Bond was issued and the proceeds were in turn loaned by Sweetwater County to Lost Creek ISR, LLC pursuant to a financing agreement dated October 23, 2013 (the "State Bond Loan"). The State Bond Loan calls for payments of interest at a fixed rate of 5.75% per annum on a quarterly basis commencing January 1, 2014. The principal is payable in 28 quarterly installments commencing January 1, 2015 and continuing through October 1, 2021.

On March 14, 2014, the Company modified a loan facility with RMB to include a \$3.5 million line of credit. On October 15, 2015, the loan was amended to extend the maturity date of the \$3.5 million line of credit to December 31, 2016 and spread the \$3.5 million balance originally due March 31, 2016 over four quarterly payments commencing March 31, 2016 and concluding December 31, 2016, plus interest at a rate of approximately 8.75%. This was considered a modification for accounting purposes.

Deferred loan fees include legal fees, commissions, commitment fees and other costs associated with obtaining the various financings. Those fees amortizable within 12 months of June 30, 2016 are considered current.

The following table lists the current (within 12 months) and long term portion of each of the Company's debt instruments:

**Ur-Energy Inc.**  
**Condensed Notes to Unaudited Interim Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2016**

(expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars unless otherwise indicated)

	As at	
	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
	\$	\$
<b>Current debt</b>		
Sweetwater County Loan	4,494	4,367
RMB First Loan Facility	2,156	4,312
	6,650	8,679
Less deferred financing costs	(136)	(152)
	6,514	8,527
<b>Long term debt</b>		
Sweetwater County Loan	22,235	24,514
Less deferred financing costs	(516)	(577)
	21,719	23,937

Schedule of payments on outstanding debt as of June 30, 2016:

Debt	Total	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Subsequent	Maturity
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
<b>Sweetwater County Loan</b>								
Principal	26,729	2,215	4,623	4,895	5,183	5,487	4,326	October 1, 2021
Interest	4,427	753	1,311	1,039	752	447	125	
<b>RMB First Loan Facility</b>								
Principal	2,156	2,156	-	-	-	-	-	December 31, 2016
Interest	75	75	-	-	-	-	-	
<b>Total</b>	<b>33,387</b>	<b>5,199</b>	<b>5,934</b>	<b>5,934</b>	<b>5,935</b>	<b>5,934</b>	<b>4,451</b>	

## 12. Deferred Revenue

In March 2016, the Company assigned its contractual delivery obligations under two of its sales contracts which are scheduled to take place in the third and fourth quarter of 2016 to a natural resources trading company in exchange for a cash payment of \$5.1 million. The Company will reflect the payment as revenue when the related deliveries under the contracts are settled.

## 13. Asset Retirement and Reclamation Obligations

Asset retirement obligations ("ARO") relate to the Lost Creek mine and Pathfinder projects and are equal to the present value of all estimated future costs required to remediate any environmental disturbances that exist as of the end of the period discounted at a risk-free rate. Included in this liability are the costs of closure, reclamation, demolition and stabilization of the mines, processing plants, infrastructure, aquifer restoration, waste dumps and ongoing post-closure environmental monitoring and maintenance costs.

At June 30, 2016, the total undiscounted amount of the future cash needs was estimated to be \$27.2 million. The schedule of payments required to settle the ARO liability extends through 2033.

(expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars unless otherwise indicated)

The restricted cash as discussed in note 6 is related to the surety bonds which provide security to the related governmental agencies on these obligations.

	<b>For the period ended</b>	
	<u>June 30, 2016</u>	<u>December 31, 2015</u>
	\$	\$
Beginning of period	26,061	23,445
Change in estimated liability	150	2,101
Accretion expense	<u>265</u>	<u>515</u>
End of period	<u>26,476</u>	<u>26,061</u>

#### 14. Shareholders' Equity and Capital Stock

##### Stock options

In 2005, the Company's Board of Directors approved the adoption of the Company's stock option plan (the "Option Plan"). The plan was most recently approved by the shareholders on April 29, 2014. Eligible participants under the Option Plan include directors, officers, employees and consultants of the Company. Under the terms of the Option Plan, stock options generally vest with Option Plan participants as follows: 10% at the date of grant; 22% four and one-half months after grant; 22% nine months after grant; 22% thirteen and one-half months after grant; and the balance of 24% eighteen months after the date of grant.

Activity with respect to stock options is summarized as follows:

	<u>Options</u>	<u>Weighted- average exercise price</u>
	#	US\$
<b>Balance, December 31, 2015</b>	9,974,407	0.88
Exercised	(16,620)	0.56
Forfeited	(238,537)	0.64
Expired	<u>(1,172,648)</u>	2.11
<b>Outstanding, June 30, 2016</b>	<u>8,546,602</u>	0.72

The exercise price of a new grant is set at the closing price for the shares on the Toronto Stock Exchange (TSX) on the trading day immediately preceding the grant date so there is no intrinsic value as of the date of grant. The fair value of options vested during the six months ended June 30, 2016 was \$0.4 million.



(expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars unless otherwise indicated)

As of June 30, 2016, outstanding stock options are as follows:

Exercise price	Options outstanding			Options exercisable			Expiry
	Number of options	Weighted-average contractual life (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value	Number of options	Weighted-average contractual life (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value	
US\$			US\$ (thousands)			US\$ (thousands)	
1.21	545,000	0.0	-	545,000	0.0	-	July 7, 2016
0.90	615,238	0.2	-	615,238	0.2	-	September 9, 2016
0.89	200,000	0.3	-	200,000	0.3	-	October 24, 2016
0.70	897,769	0.5	-	897,769	0.5	-	January 12, 2017
1.07	200,000	0.6	-	200,000	0.6	-	February 1, 2017
0.91	100,000	0.7	-	100,000	0.7	-	March 1, 2017
0.59	1,230,319	1.4	-	1,230,319	1.4	-	December 7, 2017
0.59	554,569	1.8	-	554,569	1.8	-	April 25, 2018
0.96	100,000	2.1	-	100,000	2.1	-	August 1, 2018
0.93	896,985	2.5	-	896,985	2.5	-	December 27, 2018
1.30	100,000	2.7	-	100,000	2.7	-	March 31, 2019
0.79	919,334	3.4	-	919,334	3.4	-	December 12, 2019
0.88	200,000	3.9	-	108,000	3.9	-	May 29, 2020
0.66	761,173	4.1	-	434,913	4.1	-	August 17, 2020
0.62	1,226,215	4.4	-	421,753	4.4	-	December 11, 2020
0.72	8,546,602	2.2	-	7,323,880	1.9	-	

The aggregate intrinsic value of the options in the preceding table represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value for stock options with an exercise price less than the Company's TSX closing stock price of Cdn\$0.66 as of the last trading day in the period ended June 30, 2016, that would have been received by the option holders had they exercised their options as of that date. No options were in-the-money as of June 30, 2016.

#### Restricted share units

On June 24, 2010, the Company's shareholders approved the adoption of the Company's restricted share unit plan (the "RSU Plan"). The RSU Plan was approved by our shareholders most recently on May 5, 2016.

Eligible participants under the RSU Plan include directors and employees of the Company. Under the terms of the original RSU Plan, RSUs vested with participants as follows: 50% on the first anniversary of the date of the grant and 50% on the second anniversary of the date of the grant. In March 2015, the Board approved amendments to the plan that (a) extend the redemption period so that, going forward, all RSUs in a grant are not redeemed until the second anniversary of the grant; (b) provide for redemption, instead of cancellation, of outstanding RSUs at the date of redemption for retiring directors and executive officers, which is defined as a threshold of combined service and age of 65 years, and a minimum of five years of service to the Company; and (c) update the RSU Plan for compliance with applicable laws. The amendments were approved and ratified by shareholder vote on May 28, 2015. Grants made subsequent to May 28, 2015 have been made pursuant to the amendments described.

(expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars unless otherwise indicated)

Activity with respect to RSUs is summarized as follows:

	Number of RSUs	Weighted average grant date fair value US\$
<b>Unvested, December 31, 2015</b>	860,095	0.82
Vested	(186,733)	0.81
Forfeited	<u>(20,401)</u>	0.63
<b>Unvested, June 30, 2016</b>	<u>652,961</u>	0.71

As of June 30, 2016, outstanding RSUs are as follows:

Grant date	Number of unvested RSUs	Remaining life (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value US\$ (thousands)
December 12, 2014	94,609	0.45	48
March 13, 2015	110,278	0.70	56
August 17, 2015	177,314	1.13	90
December 11, 2015	<u>270,760</u>	1.45	138
	<u>652,961</u>	1.09	<u>332</u>

Upon RSU vesting, the holder of an RSU will receive one common share, for no additional consideration, for each RSU held.

#### Warrants

The following represents warrant activity during the period ended June 30, 2016.

	Number of Warrants	Weighted- average exercise price US\$
<b>Outstanding, December 31, 2015</b>	8,224,112	1.71
Expired	<u>(25,000)</u>	0.73
<b>Outstanding, June 30, 2016</b>	<u>8,199,112</u>	1.06

(expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars unless otherwise indicated)

As of June 30, 2016, outstanding warrants are as follows:

Exercise price	Number of warrants	Remaining contractual life (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value	Expiry
US\$			US\$ (thousands)	
1.35	2,354,545	0.5	-	December 19, 2016
0.93	4,294,167	2.0	-	June 24, 2018
0.96	1,550,400	2.2	-	August 27, 2018
1.06	8,199,112	1.6	-	

#### Share-based compensation expense

Share-based compensation expense was \$0.2 million and \$0.4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and \$0.3 million and \$0.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively.

As of June 30, 2016, there was approximately \$0.3 million of total unrecognized compensation expense (net of estimated pre-vesting forfeitures) related to unvested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Option Plan and \$0.3 million under the RSU Plan. The expenses are expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 0.8 years and 1.1 years, respectively.

Cash received from stock options exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2016 was less than \$0.1 million and \$0.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015.

#### Fair value calculations

The initial fair value of options and RSUs granted is determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model for options and the intrinsic pricing model for RSUs. There were no options or RSUs granted in the six months ended June 30, 2016. The following assumptions were used in the calculations:

	Six months ended June 30, 2015
Expected option life (years)	3.6
Expected volatility	57.00%
Risk-free interest rate	0.67%
Expected dividend rate	0%
Forfeiture rate (Options)	5.0%
Forfeiture rate (RSUs)	7.8%

The Company estimates expected volatility using daily historical trading data of the Company's common shares, because this is recognized as a valid method used to predict future volatility. The risk-free interest rates are determined

(expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars unless otherwise indicated)

by reference to Canadian Treasury Note constant maturities that approximate the expected option term. The Company has never paid dividends and currently has no plans to do so.

Share-based compensation expense is recognized net of estimated pre-vesting forfeitures, which results in recognition of expense on options that are ultimately expected to vest over the expected option term. Forfeitures were estimated using actual historical forfeiture experience.

## 15. Sales

Sales have been derived from U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> being sold to domestic utilities, primarily under term contracts, as well as to a trader through spot sales.

Sales consist of:

	Six months ended June 30,			
	2016		2015	
	\$		\$	
<b>Sale of produced inventory</b>				
Company A	6,375	67.4%	5,094	19.9%
Company B	3,075	32.4%	2,555	10.0%
Company C	-	0.0%	6,098	23.8%
	<u>9,450</u>	<u>99.9%</u>	<u>13,747</u>	<u>53.7%</u>
<b>Sales of purchased inventory</b>				
Company D	<u>-</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>11,846</u>	<u>46.3%</u>
<b>Total sales</b>	<u>9,450</u>	<u>99.9%</u>	<u>25,593</u>	<u>100.0%</u>
<b>Disposal fee income</b>	<u>11</u>	<u>0.1%</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>0.0%</u>
	<u>9,461</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>25,600</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

The names of the individual companies have not been disclosed for reasons of confidentiality.

## 16. Financial Instruments

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, restricted cash, deposits, accounts payable and accrued liabilities and notes payable. The Company is exposed to risks related to changes in interest rates and management of cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments.

### Credit risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist of cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash. These assets include Canadian dollar and U.S. dollar denominated certificates of deposits, money market accounts and demand deposits. These instruments are maintained at financial institutions in Canada and the United States. Of the amount held on deposit, approximately \$0.7 million is covered by the Canada Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Securities Investor Protection Corporation or the United States Federal Deposit

(expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars unless otherwise indicated)

Insurance Corporation, leaving approximately \$9.3 million at risk at June 30, 2016 should the financial institutions with which these amounts are invested be rendered insolvent. The Company does not consider any of its financial assets to be impaired as of June 30, 2016.

All of the Company's customers have Moody's Baa or greater ratings and purchase from the Company under contracts for set prices and payment terms.

### **Liquidity risk**

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not be able to meet its financial obligations as they come due.

As at June 30, 2016, the Company's financial liabilities consisted of trade accounts payable and accrued trade and payroll liabilities of \$1.6 million which are due within normal trade terms of generally 30 to 60 days, notes payable which will be payable over periods of 0 to 5.3 years, and asset retirement obligations with estimated completion dates until 2033.

In February 2016, the Company raised \$5.9 million (net of costs of \$766 thousand) from the issuance of 12.9 million shares priced at \$0.50 per share pursuant to a bought-deal financing. The rationale for raising funds was due to a change in the timing of contracted deliveries and payment commitments in 2016. The Company has relied primarily on cash flow from operations since deliveries from production commenced on a regular basis in 2014.

On May 27, 2016, we entered into an At Market Issuance Sales Agreement with MLV & Co. LLC and FBR Capital Markets & Co. under which we may, from time to time, issue and sell common shares at market prices on the NYSE MKT or other U.S. market through the distribution agents for aggregate sales proceeds of up to \$10,000,000. During the quarter, we sold 164,979 common shares under the sales agreement at an average price of \$0.65 per share for gross proceeds of \$108 thousand. After deducting transaction fees and commissions, and all costs associated with the completing the agreement and filing the related prospectus supplement, net proceeds received were \$20 thousand.

We do not anticipate the need for additional funding in 2016 unless it is advantageous to do so. Although the Company has been successful in raising debt and equity financing in the past, there can be no guarantee that such funding will be available in the future.

### **Sensitivity analysis**

The Company has completed a sensitivity analysis to estimate the impact that a change in interest rates would have on the net loss of the Company. This sensitivity analysis shows that a change of +/- 100 basis points in interest rate would have a nominal effect on either the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 or the comparable three and six months in 2015. The financial position of the Company may vary at the time that a change in interest rates occurs causing the impact on the Company's results to differ from that shown above.

## **Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION**

### **Business Overview**

The following discussion is designed to provide information that we believe is necessary for an understanding of our financial condition, changes in financial condition and results of our operations. The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the MD&A contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Incorporated on March 22, 2004, Ur-Energy is an exploration stage mining company, as that term is defined in SEC Industry Guide 7. We are engaged in uranium mining, recovery and processing activities, including the acquisition, exploration, development and operation of uranium mineral properties in the United States. We are operating our first in situ recovery ("ISR") uranium mine at our Lost Creek Project in Wyoming. Ur-Energy is a corporation continued under the *Canada Business Corporations Act* on August 8, 2006. Our Common Shares are listed on the TSX under the symbol "URE" and on the NYSE MKT under the symbol "URG."

Ur-Energy has one wholly-owned subsidiary: Ur-Energy USA Inc, incorporated under the laws of the State of Colorado. Ur-Energy USA has three wholly-owned subsidiaries: NFU Wyoming, LLC, a limited liability company formed under the laws of the State of Wyoming which acts as our land holding and exploration entity; Lost Creek ISR, LLC, a limited liability company formed under the laws of the State of Wyoming to operate our Lost Creek Project and hold our Lost Creek properties and assets; and Pathfinder, incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware, which holds, among other assets, the Shirley Basin and Lucky Mc properties in Wyoming. Our U.S. subsidiaries remain unchanged since the filing of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated February 26, 2016.

We utilize in situ recovery of the uranium at our flagship project, Lost Creek, and will do so at other projects where possible. The ISR technique is employed in uranium extraction because it allows for an effective recovery of roll front uranium mineralization at a lower cost. At Lost Creek, we extract and process U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, for shipping to a third-party facility for storage and sales.

Our Lost Creek processing facility, which includes all circuits for the production, drying and packaging of uranium for delivery into sales, is designed and anticipated to process up to one million pounds of U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> annually from the Lost Creek mine. The processing facility has the physical design capacity to process two million pounds of U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> annually, which provides additional capacity to process material from other sources. We expect that the Lost Creek processing facility may be utilized to process captured U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> from our Shirley Basin Project. However, the Shirley Basin permit application contemplates the construction of a full processing facility, providing greater construction and operating flexibility as may be dictated by market conditions.

We have multiple U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> sales agreements in place with various U.S. utilities for the sale of U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> at mid- and long-term contract pricing. The multi-year sales agreements represent a portion of our anticipated production through 2021. These agreements individually do not represent a substantial portion of our annual projected production, and our business is therefore not substantially dependent upon any one of the agreements. The balance of our Lost Creek production will be sold through spot sales and through additional multi-year agreements.

As previously announced, reductions in workforce were implemented in June 2016 due to continuing depressed uranium market conditions. Twelve employees were laid off, and several remaining employees were asked to change job responsibilities or carry additional responsibilities. Transitions are ongoing and operations at Lost Creek have been uninterrupted.

## **Mineral Rights and Properties**

Ten of our U.S. properties are located in the Great Divide Basin, Wyoming, including Lost Creek. Currently we control a total of more than 2,100 unpatented mining claims and four State of Wyoming mineral leases for a total of approximately 42,000 acres (16,997 hectares) in the area of the Lost Creek Property, including the Lost Creek permit area (the “Lost Creek Project” or “Project”), and certain adjoining properties which we refer to as LC East, LC West, LC North, LC South and EN Project areas (collectively, with the Lost Creek Project, the “Lost Creek Property”). Additionally, in the Shirley Basin, Wyoming, our Shirley Basin Project comprises more than 3,500 Company-controlled acres.

### **Lost Creek Property**

For the six months ended June 30, 2016, together, contract and spot sales from U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> produced at Lost Creek totaled 262,000 pounds at an average price of \$36.07 per pound for sales revenues of \$9.5 million. The *Results of Operations* are detailed further below.

#### *Development and Operations at Lost Creek*

Production rates at Lost Creek during the quarter were near projected levels as we continued to operate header houses 1 through 12 throughout the quarter and began to see initial production from header house 13 late in the quarter. As scheduled, the thirteenth and final originally-planned header house in Mine Unit 1 (MU1) was brought online late in May. This header house, and its related patterns of production wells, includes certain refinements in design and well completion techniques in an effort to increase injectivity for even greater well performance. Although many analyses are ongoing, results of HH 13’s first month of operation are thus far validating these refinements. In addition, routine plant and wellfield maintenance continued as scheduled. While lower than the previous quarter, plant head grades from MU1 header houses continue to be significantly higher than originally projected. The decrease is related to normal projected declines as well as the addition of new production fluid from HH 13. Grades from HH 13 have subsequently risen to 100mg/L as of July 27, 2016.

#### *Regulatory Update*

Applications for amendment to the Lost Creek licenses and permits were submitted in 2014. The amendments are intended to include recovery from the KM horizon and to include recovery of the uranium resource in the LC East project immediately adjacent to the Lost Creek project. Reviews by both the NRC and WDEQ were commenced and, in September 2015, the BLM issued a Notice of Intent to prepare an environmental impact statement for the amendments. We are responding to additional comments from the agencies, as part of the review process. Six monitor wells are being drilled to provide additional hydrologic data in response to certain of the comments.

A WDEQ permit for Underground Injection Control (UIC) Class V wells has been completed for Lost Creek. We continue to await all final approvals from regulators, currently anticipated to be received Q3. These approvals will allow for the onsite disposal of fresh permeate (*i.e.*, clean water) into relatively shallow Class V wells. Site operators will use the reverse osmosis (RO) circuits, which were installed during initial construction of the plant, to process waste water into brine and permeate streams. The brine stream will continue to be disposed of in the UIC Class I deep wells while the clean, permeate stream will be injected into the UIC Class V wells. We continue to expect that the wells and RO system will be fully operational in third quarter 2016. We anticipate that this new disposal system will enhance waste water disposal capacity at the site.

Following a public comment period, the EPA continues with its rulemaking on changes to Part 192, which sets forth groundwater restoration and stabilization requirements for ISR uranium projects. We continue to assess the potential impact this rulemaking may have on our operations.

### **Shirley Basin Project**

We submitted to the WDEQ the application for permit to mine for Shirley Basin in December 2015. Currently, WDEQ is conducting its technical review. Preparation of the application for source material license is nearing completion. At the same time, we continue to monitor the progress of WDEQ's effort to become an agreement state under its Land Quality Uranium Recovery Program.

Under the terms of our acquisition of Pathfinder from AREVA in 2013, we were obligated to pay a 5% production royalty on production at the Shirley Basin Project under certain market conditions, if such conditions were triggered prior to June 30, 2016. That contingent royalty was capped to the various triggers and could have been repurchased at our election. On June 30, 2016, the royalty lapsed and was terminated because the market conditions had not been triggered.

### **Other Mineral Properties**

In June 2016, we elected to not renew our claims in the area known as the Hauber Project. As a result, we have written off our investment of \$62 thousand in that project. We maintain the related geologic database for the project to support future activities if warranted.

### **Results of Operations**

#### **U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> Production and Sales**

During the six months ended June 30, 2016, we captured 292,672 pounds of U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> within the Lost Creek plant. 304,152 pounds were packaged in drums and 330,864 pounds of the drummed inventory were shipped to the conversion facility. We sold 262,000 pounds of U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> during the period. Inventory, production and sales figures for the Lost Creek Project are presented in the following tables. We are presenting the data in the tables for the last four quarters because the nature of our operations is not regularly based on the calendar year. We therefore feel that presenting the last four quarters is a more meaningful representation of operations than comparing comparable periods in the previous year and enables the reader to better interpret trend analysis.



<b>Production and Production Costs</b>	<b>Unit</b>	<b>2016 Q2</b>	<b>2016 Q1</b>	<b>2015 Q4</b>	<b>2015 Q3</b>	<b>Year to date</b>
Pounds captured	lb	133,341	159,331	211,717	172,282	292,672
Ad valorem and severance tax	\$000	\$ 304	\$ 420	\$ 470	\$ 674	\$ 724
Wellfield cash cost <sup>(1)</sup>	\$000	\$ 846	\$ 1,013	\$ 1,017	\$ 990	\$ 1,859
Wellfield non-cash cost <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	\$000	\$ 778	\$ 731	\$ 619	\$ 1,087	\$ 1,509
Ad valorem and severance tax per pound captured	\$/lb	\$ 2.28	\$ 2.64	\$ 2.22	\$ 3.91	\$ 2.47
Cash cost per pound captured	\$/lb	\$ 6.34	\$ 6.36	\$ 4.80	\$ 5.75	\$ 6.35
Non-cash cost per pound captured	\$/lb	\$ 5.83	\$ 4.59	\$ 2.92	\$ 6.31	\$ 5.16
Pounds drummed	lb	130,308	173,844	189,480	176,850	304,152
Plant cash cost <sup>(3)</sup>	\$000	\$ 1,505	\$ 1,696	\$ 1,687	\$ 1,824	\$ 3,201
Plant non-cash cost <sup>(2)(3)</sup>	\$000	\$ 494	\$ 497	\$ 497	\$ 498	\$ 991
Cash cost per pound drummed	\$/lb	\$ 11.55	\$ 9.76	\$ 8.90	\$ 10.31	\$ 10.52
Non-cash cost per pound drummed	\$/lb	\$ 3.79	\$ 2.86	\$ 2.63	\$ 2.82	\$ 3.25
Pounds shipped to conversion facility	lb	148,714	182,150	181,568	184,380	330,864
Distribution cash cost <sup>(4)</sup>	\$000	\$ 123	\$ 88	\$ 128	\$ 80	\$ 211
Cash cost per pound shipped	\$/lb	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.64

Notes:

- 1 Wellfield costs include all wellfield operating costs plus amortization of the related mineral property acquisition costs and depreciation of the related asset retirement obligation costs. Wellfield construction and development costs, which include wellfield drilling, header houses, pipelines, power lines, roads, fences and disposal wells, are treated as development expense and are not included in wellfield operating costs.
- 2 Non-cash costs include depreciation of plant equipment, capitalized ARO costs and amortization of the investment in the mineral property acquisition costs. The expenses are calculated on a straight line basis so the expense is constant for each quarter. The cost per pound from these costs will therefore vary based on production levels only.
- 3 Plant costs include all plant operating costs, site overhead costs and depreciation of the related plant construction and asset retirement obligation costs.
- 4 Distribution costs include all shipping costs and costs charged by the conversion facility for weighing, sampling, assaying and storing the U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> prior to sale.

Production costs have remained relatively consistent over the past four quarters. Production was lower in the current quarter as header house thirteen was not brought on line until late May and initial production from the header house did not commence until late in the quarter. Because of the production decrease, our production costs per pound generally increased during the quarter.

Our wellfield cash costs declined compared to the first quarter of 2016, due mainly to reduced labor costs. The reduction in labor was due to a combination of labor cost reduction and the payment of annual bonuses in the first quarter. Because of the timing and start up of header house thirteen, our pounds captured decreased during the quarter. The lower production was offset by the lower labor costs resulting in a relatively unchanged wellfield cash cost per pound captured of \$6.34 per pound.

Plant cash costs also declined for the quarter as energy costs, labor and chemical costs all decreased during the quarter. However, pounds drummed within the plant also decreased during the quarter due primarily to fewer pounds being captured over the two quarters. In addition, higher costs pounds from the first quarter continued to make their way into the production process. As a result, the cash costs per pound drummed increased by \$1.79 to \$11.55 per pound.

Distribution costs were higher in 2016 Q2 as several assays were received from the conversion facility in the quarter. Pounds shipped were lower than the previous quarter as there were fewer pounds captured and processed. As a result, the cash cost per pound shipped increased to \$0.83 per pound.

Non-cash costs are normally fixed. However, most of the capitalized restoration costs for the first mine unit were expensed through depreciation as of September 30, 2015 based on our original estimated time to commence restoration. Actual restoration has not commenced because we are still extracting pounds from each header house in the first mine unit. Restoration will not begin until we have completed the extraction process and begun production in the next mine unit. This was reflected in the significant decline in non-cash wellfield costs in the fourth quarter of 2015. At December 31, 2015 and again at March 31, 2016, we recorded additional restoration assets for mine unit one based on the approval of annual projected restoration cost reports by the state of Wyoming. These costs are also being depreciated over approximately two years from the date of recording which will slightly increase the wellfield non-cash costs for the next two years. Plant non-cash costs were unchanged.

Sales and cost of sales	Unit	2016 Q2	2016 Q1	2015 Q4	2015 Q3	2016 YTD
Pounds sold	lb	187,000	75,000	225,000	150,000	262,000
U3O8 sales	\$000	\$ 6,741	\$ 2,709	\$ 7,756	\$ 8,459	\$ 9,450
Average contract price	\$/lb	\$ 39.35	\$ 39.35	\$ 28.49	\$ 66.71	\$ 39.35
Average spot price	\$/lb	\$ 27.00	\$ 34.50	\$ 36.18	\$ 35.75	\$ 30.75
Average price per pound sold	\$/lb	\$ 36.05	\$ 36.12	\$ 34.47	\$ 56.39	\$ 36.07
U3O8 cost of sales <sup>(1)</sup>	\$000	\$ 5,094	\$ 1,855	\$ 5,931	\$ 4,180	\$ 6,949
Ad valorem and severance tax cost per pound sold	\$/lb	\$ 2.65	\$ 2.61	\$ 2.80	\$ 2.59	\$ 2.65
Cash cost per pound sold	\$/lb	\$ 16.88	\$ 15.41	\$ 15.42	\$ 15.19	\$ 16.46
Non-cash cost per pound sold	\$/lb	\$ 7.71	\$ 6.71	\$ 8.13	\$ 10.09	\$ 7.42
Average cost per pound sold	\$/lb	\$ 27.24	\$ 24.73	\$ 26.35	\$ 27.87	\$ 26.52
U3O8 gross profit	\$000	\$ 1,647	\$ 854	\$ 1,825	\$ 4,279	\$ 2,501
Gross profit per pound sold	\$/lb	\$ 8.81	\$ 11.39	\$ 8.11	\$ 28.52	\$ 9.55
Gross profit margin	%	24.4%	31.5%	23.5%	50.6%	26.5%
<b>Ending Inventory Balances</b>						
<i>Pounds</i>						
In-process inventory	lb	62,028	71,602	88,788	71,860	
Plant inventory	lb	3,654	22,062	30,367	22,455	
Conversion facility inventory	lb	<u>135,723</u>	<u>173,178</u>	<u>63,776</u>	<u>102,782</u>	
Total inventory	lb	201,405	266,842	182,931	197,097	
<i>Total cost</i>						
In-process inventory	\$000	\$ 929	\$ 977	\$ 994	\$ 1,121	
Plant inventory	\$000	\$ 115	\$ 569	\$ 742	\$ 712	
Conversion facility inventory	\$000	<u>\$ 3,846</u>	<u>\$ 4,388</u>	<u>\$ 1,609</u>	<u>\$ 3,025</u>	
Total inventory	\$000	\$ 4,890	\$ 5,934	\$ 3,345	\$ 4,858	
<i>Cost per pound</i>						
In-process inventory	\$/lb	\$ 14.98	\$ 13.64	\$ 11.20	\$ 15.60	
Plant inventory	\$/lb	\$ 31.47	\$ 25.79	\$ 24.43	\$ 31.71	
Conversion facility inventory	\$/lb	\$ 28.32	\$ 25.34	\$ 25.23	\$ 29.43	

Notes:

- 1 Cost of sales include all production costs (notes 1, 2, 3 and 4 in the previous Production and Production Cost table) adjusted for changes in inventory values.

**Ending Conversion Facility Inventory  
Cost Per Pound Summary**

	Unit	June 30, 2016	March 31, 2016	December 31, 2015	September 30, 2015
Ad valorem and severance tax cost per pound	\$/lb	\$ 2.68	\$ 2.57	\$ 2.66	\$ 2.99
Cash cost per pound	\$/lb	\$17.50	\$ 15.85	\$ 15.39	\$ 16.5
Non-cash cost per pound	\$/lb	\$ 8.14	\$ 6.92	\$ 7.18	\$ 9.94
Total cost per pound	\$/lb	\$28.32	\$ 25.34	\$ 25.23	\$ 29.43

U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> sales of \$6.7 million for 2016 Q2 were based on selling 187,000 pounds at an average price of \$36.05, with regularly-scheduled contract deliveries of 137,000 pounds and a sale of 50,000 pounds on the spot market.

For the quarter, our cost of sales totaled \$5.1 million based on selling 187,000 pounds from production at a total cost per pound of \$27.24, up from \$24.73 in the previous quarter but more in line with the third and fourth quarters of 2015. The cost per pound sold was reflective of the increased cost per pound produced for the two quarters in 2016 which are primarily the result of lower production.

At the end of the quarter, the average cash cost per pound in the conversion facility ending inventory was \$17.50, an increase from \$15.85 at the end of the previous quarter, and is reflective of the increased cost per pound produced for the past two quarters, which was again primarily driven by the lower production levels.

The gross profit from uranium sales for the quarter was \$1.6 million, which represents a gross profit margin of approximately 24%. This was lower than the previous quarter due to lower spot prices and an increase in costs mainly associated with lower production levels for the year.

*GAAP Reconciliations*

Cash cost per pound and non-cash cost per pound for produced and sold U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> presented in the above tables are non-GAAP measures. These measures do not have a standardized meaning or a consistent basis of calculation under GAAP. These measures are used to assess business performance and may be used by certain investors to evaluate performance. To facilitate a better understanding of these measures, the tables below present a reconciliation of these measures to the financial results as presented in our financial statements.

<b>Average Price Per Pound Sold Reconciliation</b>	Unit	2016 Q2	2016 Q1	2015 Q4	2015 Q3	2016 YTD
Sales per financial statements	\$000	\$ 6,747	\$ 2,714	\$ 7,786	\$ 8,491	\$ 9,461
Less disposal fees	\$000	\$ (6)	\$ (5)	\$ (30)	\$ (32)	\$ (11)
U <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> sales	\$000	\$ 6,741	\$ 2,709	\$ 7,756	\$ 8,459	\$ 9,450
Total pounds sold	lb	187,000	75,000	225,000	150,000	262,000
Average price per pound sold	\$/lb	\$ 36.05	\$ 36.12	\$ 34.47	\$ 56.39	\$ 36.07

The Company delivers U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> to a conversion facility and receives credit for a specified quantity measured in pounds once the product is confirmed to meet the required specifications. When a delivery is approved, the Company notifies the conversion facility with instructions for a title transfer to the customer. Revenue is recognized once a title transfer of the U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> is confirmed by the conversion facility.

<b>Total Cost Per Pound Sold Reconciliation</b>	<b>Unit</b>	<b>2016 Q2</b>	<b>2016 Q1</b>	<b>2015 Q4</b>	<b>2015 Q3</b>	<b>2016 YTD</b>
Ad valorem & severance taxes	\$000	\$ 304	\$ 420	\$ 470	\$ 674	\$ 724
Wellfield costs	\$000	\$ 1,624	\$ 1,744	\$ 1,636	\$ 2,077	\$ 3,368
Plant and site costs	\$000	\$ 1,998	\$ 2,193	\$ 2,184	\$ 2,321	\$ 4,191
Distribution costs	\$000	\$ 123	\$ 88	\$ 128	\$ 80	\$ 211
Inventory change	\$000	<u>\$ 1,045</u>	<u>\$ (2,590)</u>	<u>\$ 1,513</u>	<u>\$ (973)</u>	<u>\$ (1,545)</u>
Total cost of sales	\$000	\$ 5,094	\$ 1,855	\$ 5,931	\$ 4,179	\$ 6,949
<b>Total pounds sold</b>	<b>lb</b>	<b>187,000</b>	<b>75,000</b>	<b>225,000</b>	<b>150,000</b>	<b>262,000</b>
<b>Total average cost per pound sold</b>	<b>\$/lb</b>	<u><b>\$ 27.24</b></u>	<u><b>\$ 24.73</b></u>	<u><b>\$ 26.35</b></u>	<u><b>\$ 27.87</b></u>	<u><b>\$ 26.52</b></u>

- 1 The cost per pound sold reflects both cash and non-cash costs, which are combined as cost of sales in the statement of operations included in this filing. The cash and non-cash cost components are identified in the above inventory, production and sales table.

The cost of sales includes ad valorem and severance taxes related to the extraction of uranium, all costs of wellfield, plant and site operations including the related depreciation and amortization of capitalized assets, reclamation and mineral property costs, plus product distribution costs. These costs are also used to value inventory and the resulting inventoried cost per pound is compared to the estimated sales prices based on the contracts or spot sales anticipated for the distribution of the product. Any costs in excess of the calculated market value are charged to cost of sales.

**Three and six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2015**

The following tables summarize the results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (in thousands of U.S. dollars):

	<b>Three months ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2016</b>	<b>2015</b>
	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>
Sales	6,747	18,213
Cost of sales	(5,094)	(13,791)
Gross profit	1,653	4,422
Exploration and evaluation expense	(687)	(550)
Development expense	(727)	(557)
General and administrative expense	(1,459)	(1,743)
Accretion	(132)	(128)
Write off of mineral property	(62)	-
Net profit (loss) from operations	(1,414)	1,444
Interest expense (net)	(515)	(658)
Warrant mark to market gain	-	248
Loss from equity investment	(2)	(5)
Foreign exchange loss	(1)	(4)
Other income	4	-
Net loss	(1,928)	1,025
Profit (loss) per share – basic and diluted	(0.01)	0.01
Revenue per pound sold	36.05	45.08
Total cost per pound sold	27.24	36.91
Gross profit per pound sold	8.81	8.17

	<b>Six months ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2016</b>	<b>2015</b>
	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>
Sales	9,461	25,600
Cost of sales	(6,949)	(19,181)
Gross profit	2,512	6,419
Exploration and evaluation expense	(1,542)	(1,235)
Development expense	(1,276)	(1,586)
General and administrative expense	(2,824)	(3,260)
Accretion expense	(265)	(254)
Write-off of mineral properties	(62)	-
Net profit (loss) from operations	(3,457)	84
Interest expense (net)	(1,069)	(1,346)
Warrant mark to market gain	31	171
Loss from equity investment	(2)	(5)
Write-off of equity investments	(189)	-
Foreign exchange loss	(273)	(3)
Other income	42	-
Net loss	(4,917)	(1,099)
Loss per share – basic and diluted	(0.04)	(0.01)
Revenue per pound sold	36.07	46.53
Total cost per pound sold	26.52	31.67
Gross profit per pound sold	9.55	14.86

### **Sales**

We sold a total of 187,000 and 262,000 pounds of U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 for an average price of \$36.05 and \$36.07 per pound, respectively, and 404,000 and 550,000 pounds of U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> during the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 for an average price of \$45.08 and \$46.53 per pound, respectively. The fluctuation in sales prices relates primarily to the contractual delivery commitments and higher spot prices in 2015.

### **Cost of Sales**

Our cost per pound sold for produced inventory decreased \$1.74 to \$27.24 from \$28.98 compared to the same quarter in 2015 and by \$5.77 from \$26.52 to \$32.29 for the six month periods. The high cost in 2015 was partially due to severance and ad valorem factor increases by the state of Wyoming in the fourth quarter which were later rescinded and reissued at lower amounts. The effect of the higher costs was reflected in the cost of sales in Q1 2015 as the inventory flowed through the process and into conversion facility inventory. Also, much of the depreciation on the reclamation costs of mine unit 1 was incurred by August 2015 so non-cash costs were significantly higher in 2015. Finally, our costs have stabilized as production has become more consistent although volume was down in the current year.

## **Gross Profit**

The gross profit was \$1.6 million and \$2.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, which represents gross profit margins of approximately 24% and 26% as compared to \$4.4 million and \$6.4 million in the respective periods in 2015, which represented gross profit margins of approximately 24% and 27%, respectively. Gross profit per pound sold decreased to \$8.81 in 2016 Q2 from \$10.94 in 2015 Q2. For the six month period, gross profit per pound decreased \$2.11 to \$9.55 from \$11.66 in 2015. The primary reason for the significant fluctuation in gross profit is the contractual sales prices of the contracts delivered into for the periods. The quarter on quarter fluctuation is due to the timing of the deliveries into various contracts with different pricing points.

## **Operating Expenses**

Total operating expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 was \$3.1 million and \$6.0 million, respectively. Operating expenses includes exploration and evaluation expense, development expense and G&A expense. These expenses decreased by \$0.1 million and \$0.6 million compared to the same periods in 2015 due primarily to costs associated with labor, legal and consulting for the current quarter and drilling and header house construction that was lower for the year to date in 2016 compared to 2015.

Exploration and evaluation expense consists of labor and associated costs of the exploration and evaluation departments as well as land holding and exploration costs including drilling and analysis on properties which have not reached the permitting or operations stage. These expenses increased \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the same periods in 2015. All costs associated with the geology and geological information systems departments as well as the costs incurred on specific projects as described above are reflected in this category. Costs increased for the three and six months due to increases in labor costs including bonuses and fringe benefit charges.

Development expense includes costs incurred at the Lost Creek Project not directly attributable to production activities, including wellfield construction, drilling and development costs. It also includes costs associated with the Shirley Basin and Lucky Mc properties as they are in a more advanced stage. Development expenses increased by \$0.2 million during the current quarter but decreased \$0.6 million in the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the same periods in 2015. The increase for the current quarter related to increased drilling activity (\$0.2 million) relating to maintenance and improvements on existing holes as well as increased consulting costs of \$0.1 million. For the six month periods, the decrease was primarily related to a reduction in the drilling and header house construction costs in 2016 compared to 2015.

G&A expense relates to administration, finance, investor relations, land and legal functions and consists principally of personnel, facility and support costs. Expenses decreased by \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, compared to 2015. The decrease related mainly to reduced external consulting and legal expenses, which was combined with lower labor costs.

## **Other Income and Expenses**

Net interest expense declined \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the prior year. The expense decline was directly attributable to principal payments reducing the outstanding note balances.

In December 2013, the Company sold equity units which included one common share and one half warrant for the purchase of stock at US\$1.35 per common share. As the warrants were priced in U.S. dollars and not



Canadian dollars, which is the parent company's functional currency, these warrants are considered a derivative and are therefore treated as a liability. The mark to market gain and loss is based on changes in exchange rates and the other factors used in the calculation of Black-Scholes valuations which are not directly related to the Company's results of operations.

### **Loss per Common Share**

The basic and diluted losses per common share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 were \$0.01 and \$0.04, respectively and a gain of \$0.01 and loss of \$0.01 for the same periods in 2015. The diluted loss per common share is equal to the basic loss per common share due to the anti-dilutive effect of all convertible securities outstanding given that net losses were experienced.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

As of June 30, 2016, we had cash resources, consisting of cash and cash equivalents of \$2.5 million, an increase of \$1.1 million from the December 31, 2015 balance of \$1.4 million. The cash resources consist of Canadian and U.S. dollar denominated deposit accounts and money market funds. We used \$0.6 million in operating activities during the six months ended June 30, 2016. During the same period, we used less than \$0.1 million for investing activities and generated \$1.5 million from financing activities.

Prior to the commencement of U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> deliveries and corresponding sales, we financed our operations primarily through the issuance of equity securities and debt instruments. Initial deliveries and product sales commenced in December 2013 although the first collections under those sales did not occur until January 2014. The Company will continue to consider additional financing opportunities until it builds sufficient cash reserves to cover the variability of cash receipts that result from a limited number of large sales annually which is typical in this industry.

On October 23, 2013, we closed a \$34.0 million Sweetwater County, State of Wyoming, Taxable Industrial Development Revenue Bond financing program ("State Bond Loan"). Prior to closing the State Bond Loan, we had obtained interim financing from RMB which had been paid off from the proceeds of the State Bond Loan. On December 19, 2013, we redrew \$5.0 million from the RMB loan facility. We subsequently renegotiated the loan amount to \$6.5 million together with an additional line of credit of \$3.5 million. The RMB loan facility calls for payments of interest at 8.5% plus the three month LIBOR rate recalculated at the start of each calendar quarter (approximately 8.76% in total) plus eight equal quarterly principal payments which commenced June 30, 2014. On October 15, 2015, the loan was again amended to extend the maturity date of the \$3.5 million line of credit to December 31, 2016 and spread the \$3.5 million balance originally due March 31, 2016 over four quarterly payments commencing March 31, 2016 and concluding December 31, 2016, plus interest under the same terms as agreed to in September 2014. As of June 30, 2016, the loan was fully paid while the balance on the line of credit is \$2.2 million. The RMB loan facility is secured by all of the assets of Pathfinder.

The State Bond Loan calls for payments of interest at a fixed rate of 5.75% per annum on a quarterly basis which commenced January 1, 2014. The principal is payable in 28 quarterly installments which commenced January 1, 2015 and continue through October 1, 2021. The State Bond Loan is secured by all of the assets at the Lost Creek Project. As of June 30, 2016, the balance of the State Bond Loan was \$26.7 million.

On August 19, 2014, we filed a universal shelf registration statement on Form S-3 in order that we may offer and sell, from time to time, in one or more offerings, at prices and terms to be determined, up to \$100 million of our common shares, warrants to purchase our common shares, our senior and subordinated debt securities, and rights to purchase our common shares and/or our senior and subordinated debt securities. The registration

statement became effective September 12, 2014. The 12,921,000 common shares offered in the February 2016 financing were sold for \$0.50 per share raising \$5.7 million (net of issue costs of \$0.8 million) under the shelf registration statement.

On May 27, 2016, we entered into an At Market Issuance Sales Agreement with MLV & Co. LLC and FBR Capital Markets & Co. under which we may, from time to time, issue and sell common shares at market prices on the NYSE MKT or other U.S. market through the distribution agents for aggregate sales proceeds of up to \$10,000,000. During the quarter, we sold 164,979 common shares under the sales agreement at an average price of \$0.65 per share for gross proceeds of \$108 thousand. After deducting transaction fees and commissions, and all costs associated with the completing the agreement and filing the related prospectus supplement, net proceeds received were \$20 thousand.

Collections for the six months from U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> sales totaled \$6.9 million.

Operating activities used cash of \$0.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2016 as compared to generating \$4.0 million during the same period in 2015. The net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2016 was \$3.8 million greater than the corresponding loss in 2015. The most significant features of 2016 were the generation of \$5.1 million from the assignment of two deliveries scheduled for later in 2016 to a uranium trader, which was offset by an increase in inventory, primarily at the conversion facility, of \$1.5 million due to low sales volume combined with continued production during the first six months and \$2.5 million in receivables from customers due to a sale occurring on the last day of the quarter.

During the first six months of 2016, the Company invested less than \$0.2 million in equipment and other assets and received \$0.1 million in proceeds from the sales of used vehicles.

During the first six months of 2016, the Company generated a net of \$5.9 million from a bought deal financing. The Company used \$4.3 million for principal payments on the RMB and Sweetwater debts.

#### Liquidity Outlook

Based upon our current capital balance and the expected timing of product sales, we believe we will be able to meet current obligations without additional funding. Additional cash may be required for the construction and development of our Shirley Basin Project, but no budget or timetable has been established for that project pending the submission of permit and license applications, which are currently being prepared.

We expect that any major capital projects will be funded by operating cash flow, cash on hand or additional financing as required. If these cash sources are not sufficient, certain capital projects could be delayed, or alternatively we may need to pursue additional debt or equity financing and there is no assurance that such financing will be available at all or on terms acceptable to us. We do not anticipate the need for additional funding in 2016 unless it is advantageous to do so.

#### **Looking ahead**

The average spot price per pound of U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, as reported by Ux Consulting Company, LLC and TradeTech, LLC, for the week of July 25, 2016 was \$25.00. As a result of the continuing low spot price environment, we anticipate that we will continue to maintain production at levels that will be consistent with our 2016 contractual sales obligations, which are 662,000 pounds at an average realizable price of \$47.61 per pound (including the deliveries assigned during the first quarter) in the current year.

The monthly 2016 production target for Lost Creek is to dry and drum an average of 50,000 to 60,000 pounds U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>. Production at this level will permit delivery into our remaining 2016 term contract commitment, discretionary spot sales, and the continuing buildup of our inventory, with an estimated final production for 2016 within the range of 600,000 to 700,000 pounds U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>. Our production rate may be adjusted based on operational refinements, and indicators in the market, including uranium spot market and term pricing, and other factors. The assignment of delivery obligations we made in March 2016 permits us greater flexibility to make such operational decisions and/or to continue to build inventory.

During the six months ended June 30, 2016, we sold 262,000 pounds of U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> at an average price per pound of \$36.07. Our gross margin per pound sold during the six-month period was \$9.55, or approximately 27%, which was lower than our projected margin percentage for the year due to a higher concentration of spot sales and deliveries into contracts with a lower price and a slightly higher cost per pound sold due to lower production volumes. Our projected deliveries for the balance of the year will be into contracts generating higher prices and we expect the profit margins for the year 2016 to be between 25% and 30%.

As at July 28, 2016, our unrestricted cash position was \$0.7 million. We will be collecting \$8.7 million on sales of 164,000 pounds within the next week. Given our current cash resources, contracted sales positions and low cash costs per pound, we do not anticipate the need for additional funding in 2016 unless it is advantageous to do so.

#### **Transactions with Related Parties**

Jeff Klenda, Executive Director of the Company, purchased 1,000,000 common shares under the bought deal financing in February 2016 for gross proceeds of \$500,000.

#### **Proposed Transactions**

As is typical of the mineral exploration and development industry, we will consider and review potential merger, acquisition, investment and venture transactions and opportunities that could enhance shareholder value. Timely disclosure of such transactions is made as soon as reportable events arise.

#### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

We have established the existence of uranium resources at the Lost Creek Property, but because of the unique nature of in situ recovery mines, we have not established, and have no plans to establish the existence of proven and probable reserves at this project. Accordingly, we have adopted an accounting policy with respect to the nature of items that qualify for capitalization for in situ U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> mining operations to align our policy to the accounting treatment that has been established as best practice for these types of mining operations.

The development of the wellfield includes production and monitor well drilling and completion, piping within the wellfield and to the processing facility, header houses used to monitor production and disposal wells associated with the operation of the mine. These costs are expensed when incurred.

#### **Mineral Properties**

Acquisition costs of mineral properties are capitalized. When production is attained at a property, these costs will be amortized over a period of estimated benefit.

As of June 30, 2016, the average current spot and long term prices of U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> were \$26.70 and \$40.50, respectively. This compares to prices of \$34.23 and \$44.00 as of December 31, 2015. Management reviewed impairment indicators and the effect the continued decline in uranium prices have on the valuation of the Company's mineral properties. Due to the anticipated timing of production and existence of long-term delivery contracts for near term production, management has determined that no impairment of their investment in mineral properties is necessary at this time.

Management did identify that the underlying value of the assets in the Bootheel investment declined due to the lower uranium prices during the three months ended March 31, 2016. This loss was reflected in the statement of operations and comprehensive loss as a write off of equity investment. No additional impairment has been considered necessary for the 3 month period ended June 30, 2016.

Development costs including, but not limited to, production wells, header houses, piping and power will be expensed as incurred as we have no proven and probable reserves.

#### Depreciation

The depreciable life of the Lost Creek plant, equipment and enclosure was determined to be the nameplate life of the equipment housed in the processing plant as plans exist for other uses for the equipment beyond the estimated production at the Lost Creek Project.

#### Inventory and Cost of Sales

Our inventories are valued at the lower of cost and net realizable value based on projected revenues from the sale of that product. We are allocating all costs of operations of the Lost Creek facility to the inventory valuation at various stages of production with the exception of wellfield and disposal well costs which are treated as development expenses when incurred. Depreciation of facility enclosures, equipment and asset retirement obligations as well as amortization of the acquisition cost of the related property is also included in the inventory valuation. We do not allocate any administrative or other overhead to the cost of the product.

#### Share-Based Expense

We are required to initially record all equity instruments including warrants, restricted share units and stock options at fair value in the financial statements.

Management utilizes the Black-Scholes model to calculate the fair value of the warrants and stock options at the time they are issued. Use of the Black-Scholes model requires management to make estimates regarding the expected volatility of the Company's stock over the future life of the equity instrument, the estimate of the expected life of the equity instrument and the number of options that are expected to be forfeited. Determination of these estimates requires significant judgment and requires management to formulate estimates of future events based on a limited history of actual results.

#### **New accounting pronouncements**

In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2014-09, "*Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)*." The amendments in ASU 2014-09 affect any entity that either enters into contracts with customers to transfer goods or services or enters into contracts for the transfer of nonfinancial assets unless those contracts are within the scope of other standards (e.g., insurance contracts or lease contracts). This ASU will supersede the revenue recognition requirements in Topic 605, Revenue Recognition, and most industry-

specific guidance, and creates a Topic 606 Revenue from Contracts with Customers. The core principle of the guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of the promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The amendments are effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017. Early application is not permitted. We are assessing the impact this pronouncement may have on our financial reporting.

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-1, *Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities (Topic 825)*. The amendments in this Update supersede the guidance to classify equity securities with readily determinable fair values into different categories (that is, trading or available-for-sale) and require equity securities (including other ownership interests, such as partnerships, unincorporated joint ventures, and limited liability companies) to be measured at fair value with changes in the fair value recognized through net income. The amendments allow equity investments that do not have readily determinable fair values to be remeasured at fair value either upon the occurrence of an observable price change or upon identification of an impairment. The amendments also require enhanced disclosures about those investments. The amendments improve financial reporting by providing relevant information about an entity's equity investments and reducing the number of items that are recognized in other comprehensive income. This guidance is effective for annual reporting beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within the year of adoption, and calls for prospective application, with early application permitted. Accordingly, the standard is effective for us beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2019. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, *Leases (Topic 842)*, which requires lessees to recognize all leases, including operating leases, on the balance sheet as a lease asset or lease liability, unless the lease is a short-term lease. ASU 2016-02 also requires additional disclosures regarding leasing arrangements. ASU 2016-02 is effective for interim periods and fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and early application is permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact that this standard update will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-09, *Compensation-Stock Compensation-Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting (Topic 718)*, which involves several aspects of the accounting for share-based payment transactions, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities, and classification on the statement of cash flows. Under the new standard, income tax benefits and deficiencies are to be recognized as income tax expense or benefit in the income statement and the tax effects of exercised or vested awards should be treated as discrete items in the reporting period in which they occur. An entity should also recognize excess tax benefits regardless of whether the benefit reduces taxes payable in the current period. Excess tax benefits should be classified along with other income tax cash flows as an operating activity. In regards to forfeitures, the entity may make an entity-wide accounting policy election to either estimate the number of awards that are expected to vest or account for forfeitures when they occur. This ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016 including interim periods within that reporting period, however early adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the guidance to determine the Company's adoption method and the effect it will have on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

### **Off Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We have not entered into any material off-balance sheet arrangements such as guaranteed contracts, contingent interests in assets transferred to unconsolidated entities, derivative instrument obligations, or with respect to any obligations under a variable interest entity arrangement.

### **Outstanding Share Data**

The “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” includes information available to July 28, 2016. As of July 28, 2016, we had outstanding 143,605,552 common shares and 8,001,602 options to acquire common shares.

## **Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

### **Market risk**

Market risk is the risk to the Company of adverse financial impact due to changes in the fair value or future cash flows of financial instruments as a result of fluctuations in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates.

#### *Interest rate risk*

Financial instruments that expose the Company to interest rate risk are its cash equivalents, deposits, restricted cash and debt financings. Our objectives for managing our cash and cash equivalents are to maintain sufficient funds on hand at all times to meet day-to-day requirements and to place any amounts which are considered in excess of day-to-day requirements on short-term deposit with the Company's financial institutions so that they earn interest.

#### *Currency risk*

At June 30, 2016 we maintained a balance of \$0.8 million in foreign currency resulting in a low currency risk.

Normally, we maintain under \$0.2 million in foreign currency balances.

### **Commodity Price Risk**

The Company is subject to market risk related to the market price of U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>. We have U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> supply contracts with pricing fixed or based on inflation factors applied to a fixed base. Additional future sales would be impacted by both spot and long-term U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> price fluctuations. Historically, U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> prices have been subject to fluctuation, and the price of U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> has been and will continue to be affected by numerous factors beyond our control, including the demand for nuclear power, political and economic conditions, and governmental legislation in U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> producing and consuming countries and production levels and costs of production of other producing companies. The spot market price for U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> has demonstrated a large range since January 2001. Prices have risen from \$7.10 per pound at January 2001 to a high of \$136.00 per pound as of June 2007. The spot market price was \$25.00 per pound as of July 25, 2016.

#### **Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

##### **(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

As of the end of the period covered by this MD&A, under the supervision of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, the Company evaluated the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and Rule 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information the Company is required to disclose in reports that are filed or submitted under the Exchange Act: (1) is recorded, processed and summarized effectively and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and (2) is accumulated and communicated to Company management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. The Company's disclosure controls and procedures include components of internal control over financial reporting. No matter how well designed and operated, internal controls over financial reporting can provide only reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met.

##### **(b) Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting**

No changes in our internal control over financial reporting occurred during the three months ended June 30, 2016 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## **PART II**

### **Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

No new legal proceedings or material developments in pending proceedings.

### **Item 1A. RISK FACTORS**

There have been no material changes for the three months ended June 30, 2016 from those risk factors set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

### **Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

None

### **Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES**

None

### **Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURE**

Our operations and exploration activities at Lost Creek are not subject to regulation by the federal Mine Safety and Health Administration under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977.

**Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

None



**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit Description</b>	<b>Incorporated by Reference</b>		
		<b>Form</b>	<b>Date of Report</b>	<b>Filed Herewith</b>
31.1	Certification of CEO Pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.			X
31.2	Certification of CFO Pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.			X
32.1	Certification of CEO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.			X
32.2	Certification of CFO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.			X
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document			X
101.SCH*	XBRL Schema Document			X
101.CAL*	XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document			X
101.DEF*	XBRL Definition Linkbase Document			X
101.LAB*	XBRL Labels Linkbase Document			X
101.PRE*	XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document			X

In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the XBRL related information in Exhibit 101 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Exchange Act, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

**UR -ENERGY INC.**

Date: July 29, 2016

By: /s/ Jeffrey T. Klenda  
Jeffrey T. Klenda  
Acting Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: July 29, 2016

By: /s/ Roger L. Smith  
Roger L. Smith  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer and  
Principal Accounting Officer)

**CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER  
UNDER SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Jeffrey T. Klenda, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Ur-Energy Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant is made known to us by others within this entity, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: July 29, 2016

By: /s/ Jeffrey T. Klenda

Jeffrey T. Klenda

*Chief Executive Officer*

---

**CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER  
UNDER SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Roger Smith certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Ur-Energy Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant is made known to us by others within this entity, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: July 29, 2016

By: /s/ Roger Smith  
Roger Smith  
*Chief Financial Officer*

---

**CERTIFICATIONS OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER  
PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with this quarterly report of Ur-Energy Inc. (the "Registrant") on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2016, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, the undersigned, in the capacity and on the date indicated below, hereby certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to my knowledge:

- (a) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (b) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Registrant.

Date: July 29, 2016

By: /s/ Jeffrey T. Klenda

Jeffrey T. Klenda

*Chief Executive Officer*

---

**CERTIFICATIONS OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER  
PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with this quarterly report of Ur-Energy Inc. (the "Registrant") on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2016, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, the undersigned, in the capacity and on the date indicated below, hereby certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to my knowledge:

- (a) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (b) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Registrant.

Date: July 29, 2016

By: /s/ Roger Smith

Roger Smith

*Chief Financial Officer*

---